

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/644,941	Applicant(s) HOCKANSON ET AL.	
	Examiner Boris L. Chervinsky	Art Unit 2835	

All Participants:

(1) Boris L. Chervinsky.

(2) B. Noël Kivlin, Esq. Reg. No. 33,929.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,11,19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants accepted examiner's suggestion to changes in claims 1, 11 and 19 to avoid prior art rejection

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)